

*Mathematics*

DEFORMATION OF THE REAL PART  
OF  $\beta$ -UNIFORM ALGEBRA

T. M. KHUDOYAN \*

*Chair of Differential Equations YSU, Armenia*

In this paper we investigate the deformation of the real part of  $\beta$ -uniform algebra on a locally compact Hausdorff space. We prove that if the deformation semigroup contains at least one deformation other than the affinity, then  $\beta$ -uniform algebra coincides with the algebra of all complex-valued bounded continuous functions.

**MSC2010:** 46H05; 46H20.

**Keywords:**  $\beta$ -uniform algebra, Hausdorff space, topology.

1. Let  $\Omega$  be locally compact Hausdorff space, which admits a compact exhaustion (i.e.  $\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ , where  $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$ , and every  $K_n \subset \Omega$  is a compact set) and  $C_0(\Omega)$  is the algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $\Omega$  vanishing at “infinity”. On the algebra  $C_b(\Omega)$  of all bounded continuous complex-valued functions on  $\Omega$  we define a topology by the family of semi-norms  $\{P_g\}_{g \in C_0(\Omega)}$ , where  $P_g(f) = \sup_{\Omega} |fg|$ , for each  $f \in C_b(\Omega)$ . The topology on  $C_b(\Omega)$ , given by the family of semi-norms  $\{P_g\}_{g \in C_0(\Omega)}$ , is called  $\beta$ -uniform topology and the algebra  $C_b(\Omega)$  equipped with this topology will be denoted by  $C_{\beta}(\Omega)$ . Recall that the closure of a subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset C_{\beta}(\Omega)$  in  $\beta$ -uniform topology is called  $\beta$ -uniform, if it contains the constants and separates the points of  $\Omega$ , i.e. for any points  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ , where  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$  [1–3].

Since the uniform topology is stronger than the  $\beta$ -uniform topology,  $\beta$ -uniform algebra is closed in the *sup*-norm of a subalgebra of the uniform algebra  $C_b(\Omega)$ . Algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , endowed with the uniform topology, is denoted, as in [3], by  $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ , and its maximal ideal space is denoted by  $M_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}$ . By virtue of I.M. Gelfand theory [4–6], each multiplicative functional on the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$  is continuous in the uniform norm, which is a cult in this theory, and  $M_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}$  is a compact  $*$ -weak topology of the subset of the unit ball in  $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}^*$ -space conjugate to  $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ .

\* E-mail: tigrankhudoyan@mail.ru

Recall (see [3]) that the Stone–Cech  $\mathcal{A}$ -compactification for  $\Omega$  is the closure of  $*$ -weak topology of  $\Omega$  in the maximal ideal space  $M_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}$  for uniform algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ .

We denote by  $M_{\mathcal{A}}$  the set of all  $\beta$ -continuous linear multiplicative functionals of  $\beta$ -uniform algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is clear that  $M_{\mathcal{A}} \subset M_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}$ . The Shilov boundary of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$  is denoted, as usual, via  $\partial\mathcal{A}_\infty$ , and the set  $\partial\mathcal{A} = \partial\mathcal{A}_\infty \cap \Omega$  is called the  $\beta$ -Shilov boundary of  $\mathcal{A}$  (this boundary can be empty).

Let  $\Omega_0$  be the Stone–Cech  $\mathcal{A}$ -compactification of  $\Omega$ . Then the set  $\Omega$  is dense in the compact set  $\Omega_0$ , and each function  $\mathcal{A}$  uniquely extends to a function on  $\Omega_0$ . This extension gives rise to a uniform algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{(0)}$  on  $\Omega_0$ , so,  $\mathcal{A}_{(0)}|_{\Omega} = \mathcal{A}$  [3, 7, 8].

**2.** Let  $C_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega)$  be the space of all real-valued continuous functions on  $\Omega$ . Each real-valued function  $\mathbf{h} \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega)$  induces a map  $\Phi_{\mathbf{h}} : C_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega) \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega)$ , where  $\Phi_{\mathbf{h}}(f) = h(f)$ . If  $h(t) \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega)$  is an affine function, i.e.  $h(t) = \alpha t + \beta$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\Phi_{\mathbf{h}}(f) = \alpha f + \beta$ .

**Definition 1.**  $\Phi_{\mathbf{h}}$  is called a deformation of the space  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A} = \{u \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega) : u + iv \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , if  $\Phi_{\mathbf{h}}(u) \in \text{Re}\mathcal{A}$  for every  $u \in \text{Re}\mathcal{A}$ .

Each affine mapping  $\mathbf{h}(t) = \alpha t + \beta$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , generates a deformation on  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}$  by the formula  $\Phi_{\mathbf{h}}(u) = \alpha u + \beta \in \text{Re}\mathcal{A}$ .

The family of continuous deformations of the space  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}$  forms a subgroup under the operation of superposition. The family of all linear functions  $h(t) = \alpha t + \beta$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , forms a subgroup of affine deformations.

Let  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$  be the family of continuous deformations of  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$  is semigroup with respect to superposition. We denote by  $\mathcal{K}_a(\mathcal{A})$  the semigroup of all affine deformations, which is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$ .

It is easy to see, that if  $\mathcal{A} = C_{\beta}(\Omega)$ , then  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) = C_b(\Omega)$  is a semigroup with respect to superposition.

This note is devoted to the proof of the following assertion.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\beta$ -uniform algebra on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ . Then  $\mathcal{A} = C_{\beta}(\Omega)$ , if and only if there exists at least one non-affine continuous deformation on  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{A} = C_{\beta}(\Omega)$ , then as we have mentioned above,  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) = C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , which means that there is a non-affine continuous deformation on  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}$ .

Conversely, let  $\Phi$  acts on  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}$  as a non-affine continuous deformation, i.e.

$$\Phi : \text{Re}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Re}\mathcal{A}.$$

Let  $\Omega_0$  be the Stone–Cech  $\mathcal{A}$ -compactification of  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_{(0)}$  is the uniform algebra on the compact  $\Omega_0$  mentioned above.

Consider a linear extension operator  $P : \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{on}} \mathcal{A}_{(0)}$ , such that  $Pf = \hat{f}$  and  $P^{-1}\hat{f} = \hat{f}|_{\Omega} = f$ . By the Banach Theorem on the inverse operator, the operators  $P$  and  $P^{-1}$  are continuous:  $\mathcal{A}_{(0)} \xrightarrow{P^{-1}} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{A}_{(0)}$ , i.e.  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}_{(0)} \xrightarrow{P^{-1}} \text{Re}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{Re}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \text{Re}\mathcal{A}_{(0)}$ . Since the operators  $P, P^{-1}$  are continuous, and the deformation  $\Phi$  is continuous and non-affine by assumption, the deformation of the  $P \cdot \Phi \cdot P^{-1}$  is also non-affine, continuous deformation on  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}_{(0)}$ . But then, by the theorem of [9], we have that  $\mathcal{A}_{(0)} = C(\Omega_0)$  and so,  $\mathcal{A} = C_{\beta}(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\beta$ -uniform algebra on a locally compact space  $\Omega$ . Then the discontinuous deformation  $\Phi$  acts on  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}$ , if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is finite .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\beta$ -uniform subalgebra of  $C_\beta(\Omega)$ , and  $\Phi$  be a non-discontinuous deformation, which acts on  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}$ . Consider, as above, the linear extension operator  $P: \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{on}} \mathcal{A}_{(0)}$ .

Suppose that  $\dim \mathcal{A} = \infty$ , then  $\dim \mathcal{A}_{(0)} = \infty$ . But on the other hand, since the deformation  $\Phi$ , acting on  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}$ , is discontinuous, then the deformation of  $P \circ \Phi \circ P^{-1}$ , which acts on  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}_0$ , is also discontinuous. Then, by the theorem of [10], we have that  $\dim \mathcal{A}_{(0)} < \infty$ , and since  $\dim \mathcal{A} \leq \dim \mathcal{A}_{(0)}$ , then  $\dim \mathcal{A} < \infty$ .  $\square$

As a consequence, we note the following results:

**Corollary 1.** If for a  $\beta$ -uniform algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  the deformation of  $\Phi_{\mathbf{h}}$ , generated by the function  $\mathbf{h}(t) = t^2$  acts on  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{A} = C_\beta(\Omega)$ .

**Corollary 2.** If for a  $\beta$ -uniform algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  the deformation of  $\Phi_{\mathbf{h}}$ , generated by the function  $\mathbf{h}(t) = |t|$  acts on  $\text{Re}\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{A} = C_\beta(\Omega)$ .

Note that the Corollaries 1 and 2 are improvements for of Wermer [11] and Bernard [12] corresponding theorems in the case of  $\beta$ -uniform algebras.

*Received 04.09.2013*

#### REFERENCES

1. **Buck R.C.** Bounded Continuous Functions on a Locally Compact Space. // Michigan Math. J., 1958, v. 5, p. 95–104.
2. **Karakhanyan M.I., Khor'kova T.A.** A Characterization of the Algebra  $C_\beta(\Omega)$ . // Functional Anal. and its Applic., 2009, v. 13, № 1, p. 69–71.
3. **Grigoryan S.A., Karakhanyan M.I., Khor'kova T.A.** On  $\beta$ -uniform Dirichlet Algebras. // Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis, 2010, v. 45, № 6, 17–26 (in Russian).
4. **Gelfand I.M., Raikov D.A., Shilov G.E.** Commutative Normed Rings. M.: Gosud. Izd. Fiz.- Mat. Lit., 1960 (in Russian).
5. **Naimark M.A.** Normed Rings. M.: Nauka, 1968 (in Russian).
6. **Rudin W.** Functional Analysis. New York–Sydney–Toronto, 1973.
7. **Karakhanyan M.I.** On  $\beta$ -uniform Algebras  $H_\beta^\infty(\Delta)$ . Second International Conference of Mathematics in Armenia, 2013, p. 41–42.
8. **Khudoyan T.M.** Algebra of Hyper-Analytic Functions as a  $\beta$ -uniform Algebra. // Proceedings of the YSU. Physical and Mathem. Sciences, 2013, № 3, p. 26–31.
9. **Hatori O.** Functions which Operate on the Real Part of a Function Algebra. // Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc., 1981, v. 83, № 3, p. 565–568.
10. **Jarosz K., Sawon Z.** A Discontinuous Function does not Operate on the Real Part of a Function Algebras. // Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, 1985, v. 110, p. 58–59.
11. **Wermer J.** The Space of Real Parts of a Function Algebra. // Pacif. J. Math., 1963, v. 13, № 4, p. 1423–1426.
12. **Bernard A.** Espace des Parties Réelles des Éléments d'une Algèbre de Banach de Functions. // J. Funct. Anal., 1972, v. 10, p. 387–409.