

*Mathematics*

ON DEGENERATE NONSELF-ADJOINT DIFFERENTIAL  
EQUATIONS OF FOURTH ORDER

L. P. TEPOYAN\*, H. S. GRIGORYAN

*Chair of Differential Equations YSU, Armenia*

We consider the degenerate nonself-adjoint differential equation of fourth order  $Lu \equiv (t^\alpha u'')'' + au''' - pu'' + qu = f$ , where  $t \in (0; b)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ ,  $a, p, q$  are the constant numbers and  $a \neq 0, p > 0, f \in L_2(0, b)$ . We prove that the statement of the Dirichlet problem for the above equation depends on the sign of the number  $a$  (Keldysh Teorem).

**Keywords:** Dirichlet problem, degenerate equations, weighted Sobolev spaces, spectral theory of linear operators.

**1. Statement of the Problem.** In the present paper we observe the Dirichlet problem for the following degenerate differential equation

$$Lu \equiv (t^\alpha u'')'' + au''' - pu'' + qu = f,$$

where  $t \in (0, b)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ ,  $a, p, q$  are the constant numbers and  $a \neq 0, p > 0, f \in L_2(0, b)$ .

We are interested in the nature of boundary conditions with respect to  $t$ , ensuring that the equation has unique solution for any  $f \in L_2(0, b)$ .

In the article [1] (there  $a$  or  $p$  equal to zero) has been proven that this conditions depend on the sign of  $a$ . This type of phenomenon was first noted by Keldysh in [2] for the degenerate elliptic equation of second order.

Dirichlet problem for the degenerate equation of second order have been considered in [3, 4] and for the degenerate equations of the fourth order in [5–7]. In this article we consider the case, when  $a \neq 0, p > 0$ , but with the restriction  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2, a \neq 0$ .

**2. Dirichlet Problem.**

**2.1. The Space  $\dot{W}_a^2$ .** Let  $\dot{C}^2[0, b]$  be the set of twice continuously differentiable functions  $u(t)$ , defined on  $[0, b]$  and satisfying the conditions

$$u(0) = u'(0) = u(b) = u'(b) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

---

\* E-mail: [tepoianl@ysu.am](mailto:tepoianl@ysu.am)

Let  $\dot{W}_\alpha^2$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ , be the completion of  $\dot{C}^2[0, b]$  in the norm

$$\|u\|_{\dot{W}_\alpha^2}^2 = \int_0^b t^\alpha |u''(t)|^2 dt \quad (2.2)$$

with the corresponding scalar product  $\{u, v\} = (t^\alpha u'', v'')$ , where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the scalar product in  $L_2(0, b)$ .

It is known (see, for instance, [8]) that the elements of  $\dot{W}_\alpha^2$  are continuously differentiable functions on  $[\varepsilon, b]$  for every  $0 < \varepsilon < b$ , whose first derivatives are absolutely continuous and  $u(b) = u'(b) = 0$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to explore properties of the elements from  $\dot{W}_\alpha^2$  for small  $t$ .

*Proposition 2.1.* For every  $u \in \dot{W}_\alpha^2$  close to  $t = 0$  we have following estimates

$$|u(t)|^2 \leq C_1 t^{3-\alpha} \|u\|_{\dot{W}_\alpha^2}^2, \quad \alpha \neq 1, 3; \quad |u'(t)|^2 \leq C_2 t^{1-\alpha} \|u\|_{\dot{W}_\alpha^2}^2, \quad \alpha \neq 1. \quad (2.3)$$

For  $\alpha = 3$  the factor  $t^{3-\alpha}$  should be replaced by  $|\ln t|$ ; for  $\alpha = 1$  the factor  $t^{1-\alpha}$  by  $|\ln t|$  and the factor  $t^{3-\alpha}$  by  $t^2 |\ln t|$ .

It follows from relations (2.3) that for  $\alpha < 1$  (weak degeneracy) the boundary conditions  $u(0) = u'(0) = 0$  are “retained”, while for  $1 \leq \alpha < 3$  (strong degeneracy) only the first condition is “retained”. For  $\alpha \geq 3$  both  $u(0)$  and  $u'(0)$  in general may be infinite. For example, if  $u(t) = t^\beta \varphi(t)$ , where  $\varphi(t) \in C^2[0, b]$ ,  $\varphi(b) = \varphi'(b) = 0$  and  $\varphi(0) \neq 0$ , then it is easy to check that for  $\alpha > 3$  and  $\frac{(3-\alpha)}{2} < \beta < 0$  the function  $u(t)$  belongs to  $\dot{W}_\alpha^2$ , but  $u|_{t=0}$  and  $u'|_{t=0}$  do not exist [9].

*Proposition 2.2.* For every  $1 \leq \alpha \leq 4$  we have a continuous embedding

$$\dot{W}_\alpha^2 \rightarrow L_2(0, b), \quad (2.4)$$

which for  $1 \leq \alpha < 4$  is compact.

Note that for the proof of the embedding (2.4) for  $1 \leq \alpha \leq 4$ , we use the first inequality of (2.3). For the case  $\alpha = 4$ , using the Hardy inequality (see [10]), we obtain the exact estimate  $\|u\|_{L_2(0, b)}^2 \leq \frac{16}{9} \|u\|_{\dot{W}_4^2(0, b)}^2$ .

It follows from Proposition 2.2, that for  $1 \leq \alpha \leq 4$  we have the inequality

$$\|u\|_{L_2(0, b)} \leq c \|u\|_{\dot{W}_\alpha^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that the embedding (2.4) for  $\alpha = 4$  is not compact and for  $\alpha > 4$  fails.

If we want to work within the space  $L_2(0, b)$ , we assume that the condition  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 4$  is fulfilled. Moreover, we restrict ourselves to the case  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$  to have  $u' \in L_2(0, b)$  [1].

**2.2. Non-Self-Adjoint Equation of the First Type.** In this section we consider Dirichlet problem for the equation

$$Lu \equiv (t^\alpha u'')' + au''' - pu'' + qu = f, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $t \in (0, b)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ ,  $a, p, q$  are the constant numbers and  $a > 0$ ,  $p > 0$ ,  $f \in L_2(0, b)$ .

Let  $\psi_h(t) \equiv 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq h$  and

$$\psi_h(t) = \begin{cases} h^{-3}(t-h)^2(5h-2t), & h < t < 2h, \\ 1, & 2h < t \leq b. \end{cases}$$

Let  $u_h(t) = u(t)\psi(t)$ . Obviously, the function  $u_h(t)$  belongs to the space  $\dot{W}_\alpha^2$ . We can prove that for every function  $u \in \dot{W}_\alpha^2$  and  $\alpha \neq 1, \alpha \neq 3$  the norm  $\|u_h - u\|_{\dot{W}_\alpha^2}$  tends to zero by  $h \rightarrow 0$  [1].

*Definition 2.1.* The function  $u \in \dot{W}_\alpha^2$  is called a generalized solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Eq. (2.6), if for every  $\theta \in \dot{W}_\alpha^2$ ,  $0 < h < b$ , holds the equality

$$(t^\alpha u'', \theta_h'') - a(u'', \theta_h') + p(u', \theta_h') + q(u, \theta_h) = (f, \theta_h). \quad (2.7)$$

Note that in Definition 2.1 we cannot write  $(u'', \theta')$  instead of  $(u'', \theta_h')$ , since it in general does not exist.

Now consider a particular case of the Eq. (2.6) for  $q = 0$

$$Mu \equiv (t^\alpha u'')'' + au''' - pu'' = f. \quad (2.8)$$

**Theorem 2.1.** The generalized solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Eq. (2.8) exists and is unique for every  $f \in L_2(0, b)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$  and  $\alpha \neq 1$ .

*Proof.*

*Existence.* Let  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ . Denoting  $u' = v$  and integrating the Eq. (2.8), we get  $(t^\alpha v')' + av' - pv = F(t)$ , where  $F(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau$ .

Here is very important that for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$  the function  $u' = v$  belong to  $L_2(0, b)$ . Now we can use the fact that this equation has unique solution in  $\dot{W}_\alpha^2$  (completion of  $\dot{C}^1[0, b]$  in the norm  $\|u\|_{\dot{W}_\alpha^2}^2 = \int_0^b t^\alpha |u'(t)|^2 dt$ ). Moreover, the value  $v(0)$  is finite and can be defined by  $F(t)$ , but cannot be given arbitrarily [3]. Since  $u \in \dot{W}_\alpha^2$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$  consequently we have  $u(0) = u(b) = 0$ , thus, the equation  $u' = v$  has unique solution. Now it is easy to verify that this function satisfies to the equality (2.7) (for  $q = 0$ ) for every  $\theta \in \dot{W}_\alpha^2$ .

*Uniqueness.* Let  $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ . Suppose that  $u \in \dot{W}_\alpha^2$  satisfies to the equality (2.7) (for  $q = 0$ ) for every  $\theta \in \dot{W}_\alpha^2$  and  $f = 0$ . We know that  $u(0) = 0$  and  $u'(0)$  is finite. If we put  $\theta = u$  and pass to the limit (which exists) when  $h \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain that  $(t^\alpha u'', u_h'') - a(u'', u_h') + p(u', u_h') \rightarrow \|u\|_{\dot{W}_\alpha^2}^2 + \frac{a}{2}|u'(0)|^2 + p \int_0^b |u'(t)|^2 dt = 0$ .

Hence, we conclude that  $u = 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.** We say that the function  $u \in \dot{W}_\alpha^2$  belongs to the domain of definition  $D(M)$  of the operator  $M$ , if for some  $f \in L_2(0, b)$  is valid the equality (2.7) (for  $q = 0$ ) for every  $\theta \in \dot{W}_\alpha^2$ . In this case we write  $Mu = f$ .

Thus, we get an operator  $M : L_2(0, b) \rightarrow L_2(0, b)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** The inverse operator  $M^{-1} : L_2(0, b) \rightarrow L_2(0, b)$  is compact for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* As consequence of Theorem 2.1, we get that inverse operator  $M^{-1}$  is defined on whole  $L_2(0, b)$ . At the same time, from these considerations it follows that for  $u \in D(M)$  we have

$$(f, u) = \{u, u\}_\alpha + \frac{a}{2} |u'(0)|^2 + p \int_0^b |u'(t)|^2 dt.$$

Now, using the inequalities of Cauchy and (2.5), we conclude that

$$\|u\|_{\dot{W}_\alpha^2} \leq \|Mu\|_{L_2(0, b)}.$$

Since the embedding (2.4) is compact, therefore, we get that the operator  $M^{-1}$  is compact.  $\square$

Similarly as in the proof of Proposition 2.3, it is easy to verify that the spectrum  $\sigma(M)$  of the operator  $M$  lies in the right half-plane (see [1, 3]).

We can now consider the general equation, since the number  $-q$  can be considered as a spectral parameter for the operator  $M$ . Hence, if  $-q \notin \sigma(M)$  (in particular  $q > 0$ ), we can state that the Eq. (2.6) is uniquely solvable for every  $f \in L_2(0, b)$ .

**2.3. Nonself-Adjoint Equation of the Second Type.** In this section we consider Dirichlet problem for the equation

$$Lu \equiv (t^\alpha v'')'' - av''' - pv'' + qv = g, \quad a > 0, p > 0, g \in L_2(0, b). \quad (2.9)$$

First we investigate a particular case of the Eq. (2.9) for  $q = 0$

$$Nv \equiv (t^\alpha v'')'' - av''' - pv'' = g. \quad (2.10)$$

**Definition 2.3.** We call  $v \in L_2(0, b)$  the generalized solution of the Eq. (2.10), if for every  $u \in D(M)$  we have

$$(Mu, v) = (u, g). \quad (2.11)$$

Definition 2.3, as usual, generates an operator  $N : L_2(0, b) \rightarrow L_2(0, b)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** A generalized solution for the Eq. (2.10) exists and is unique for every  $g \in L_2(0, b)$ . The generalized solution fulfills to the Conditions 2.1.

*Proof.* The generalized solution for the Eq. (2.10) is unique, since the operator  $N$  is defined as adjoint to the operator  $M$  and the image  $R(M)$  of the operator  $M$  coincides with the  $L_2(0, b)$ . The existence follows from the boundedness of the inverse operator  $M^{-1}$  [11, 12]. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we denote  $v' = w$ , and after integrating of the Eq. (2.10) we get  $(t^\alpha w')' - aw' - pw = G(t)$ , where  $G(t) = \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau + C$ . We know [3] that this equation has unique generalized solution

$w \in \dot{W}_\alpha^2$  (see Section 2.2), which fulfills (in contrast to the Eq. (2.8)) to the conditions  $w(0) = w(b) = 0$ . Now we can uniquely solve the equation  $v' = w$  using the conditions  $v(0) = v(b) = 0$ . To prove that the defined in this way function  $v(t)$  is the desired solution, we take an element  $u \in D(M)$ ,  $Mu = f$ . Then, for  $h > 0$  we have  $(t^\alpha u'', v_h'') - a(u'', v_h') + p(u', v_h') = (f, v_h)$ . Passing to the limit in this equality when  $h \rightarrow 0$  and integrating by parts, we get  $(u, Nv) = (f, v)$ , which is equivalent to the Eq. (2.11).

Note that the inverse operator  $N^{-1}$  also will be compact as adjoint to the operator  $M^{-1}$  and, therefore, the spectrum  $\sigma(N)$  of the operator  $N$  is in the right half-plane.

Now we can observe the general Eq. (2.9) regarding the number  $-p$  as spectral parameter for the operator  $N$ . As a result we get, that if  $-p \notin \sigma(N)$ , then the Eq. (2.9) has the unique solution, which fulfills the conditions (2.1).

*Received 20.08.2012*

#### REFERENCES

1. **Tepoyan L.** Degenerate Fourth-Order Differential-Operator Equations. // *Differen. Uravn.*, 1987, v. 23(8), p. 1366–1376 (in Russian); English Transl. in *Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1988, № 8.
2. **Keldysh M.V.** On Certain Cases of Degeneration of Equations of Elliptic Type on the Boundary of a Domain. // *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1951, v. 77, p. 181–183 (in Russian).
3. **Dezin A.A.** Degenerate Operator Equations. // *Mat. Sbornik, M.*, 1982, v. 43(3), p. 287–298 (in Russian).
4. **Kornienko V.V.** On the Spectrum of Degenerate Operator Equations. // *Mathem. Notes*, 2000, v. 68(5), p. 576–587.
5. **Jaiani G.** On a Generalization of the Keldysh Theorem. // *Georgian Mat. Journal*, 1995, v. 2, № 3, p. 291–297.
6. **Jaiani G.** Theory of Cusped Euler-Bernoulli Beams and Kirchoff-Love Plates. // *Lecture Notes of TICMI*, 2002, v. 3.
7. **Makhoer E.V.** Bending of a Plate of Variable Thickness with a Cusped Edge. // *Scientific Notes of Leningrad State Ped. Institute*, 1957, v. 17, № 2, p. 28–39 (in Russian).
8. **Burenkov V.I.** *Sobolev Spaces on Domains*. Teubner, 1998, 312 p.
9. **Tepoyan L.P.** On the Spectrum of a Degenerate Operator. // *Izvestiya Natsionalnoi Akademii Nauk Armenii. Matematika*, 2003, v. 38, № 5, p. 53–57.
10. **Kudryavtzev L.D.** On Equivalent Norms in the Weight Spaces. // *Trudy Mat. Inst. AN SSSR*, 1984, v. 170, p. 161–190 (in Russian).
11. **Dezin A.A.** *Partial Degenerate Equations (An Introduction to a General Theory of Linear Boundary Value Problems)*. Springer, 1987.
12. **Weidmann J.** *Lineare Operatoren in Hilberträumen. Teil 1*. Stuttgart: Grundlagen, Teubner Verlag, 2000.