



MICROBIAL BIOECENOSIS OF APICAL PERIODONTITIS IN THE ROOT CANAL SYSTEM. (PART 2)

KHABADZE Z.S.*, NAZAROVA D.A., SULEIMANOVA Z.M.,
GENERALOVA YU.A., KOTELNIKOVA A.P.

Faculty of Dentistry, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, Moscow, Russia

Received 22.07.2021; accepted for printing 18.01.2022

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this review article is to assess systematically the available scientific evidence about the microbial biocenosis of apical periodontitis in the root canal system and its resistance to antibiotics.

The study of publications was produced in the electronic databases such as Google Scholar, PubMed during a systematic review of the literature. Included articles contain information about microbial biocenosis of apical periodontitis in the root canal system and its antibiotic sensitivity. The publication date criterion was selected from January 2012 to January 2022.

Totally 55 articles were reviewed. After analyzing the literature for inclusion criteria, the total number of publications has become 10.

*Primary and secondary apical periodontitis are polymicrobial infection with predominance of gram-negative bacteria and a more diverse bacterial population found in secondary apical periodontitis. In primary apical periodontitis, the most frequently detected microorganisms are *Fusobacterium*, *Porphyromonas*, *Prevotella*, *Pseudoramibacter* and *Peptostreptococcus*. The most commonly occurring microorganisms in secondary apical periodontitis are *E. faecalis*, *P. gingivalis*, *F. nucleatum* and *A. actinomycetemcomitans* are the most common. No significant changes in the bacterial biocenosis of the root canal system with apical periodontitis have been detected over the past 10 years. Microorganisms of apical periodontitis are sensitive to various antibiotics, but most of them are sensitive to amoxicillin + clavulanate.*

KEYWORDS: apical periodontitis, microbiota, antibiotic sensitivity test.

INTRODUCTION

Apical periodontitis is an inflammatory disease of the dental periradicular tissues.

The composition of microbiocenosis in the root canal determines the course of apical periodontitis. Taking into account the fact of the aggressive flora of the root canal, the task of each endodontic treatment is to achieve maximum elimination of microorganisms from the root canal system. It is important to pay attention to the evolution of the microbial agent in the long term and trends in the selection of a new antiseptic composition for root canal irrigation: This is one of the most pressing prob-

lems of modern endodontic treatment.

It usually results from bacterial accumulation and contamination occurring in the root-canal system, and extending beyond the apical foramen to involve the periapical tissues [Tatikonda A et al., 2017]. The advancement in microbiological study methods has allowed for the identification of new species associated with the disease process. Although this topic remains not fully explored to this day, the polymicrobial etiology of the disease is confirmed by the literature [Aw V, 2016].

There are two types of apical periodontitis –

CITE THIS ARTICLE AS:

Khabadze Z.S., Nazarova D.A., Suleimanova Z.M., Generalova Yu.A., Kotelnikova A.P.(2022); Microbial biocenosis of apical periodontitis in the root canal system. (Part 2); NAMJ v.16 (2022) No.1, p. 81-86; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56936/18290825-2022.16.1-81>

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE:

Zurab S. Khabadze, PhD

Peoples Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) 6 Miklukho-Maklaya Street, Moscow, 117198, Russian Federation
Tel.: (+7926) 5666602; E-mail: dr.zura@mail.ru

primary and secondary. Primary apical periodontitis results from the microbial colonization of necrotic pulp tissues. Secondary apical periodontitis results from a persistent infection of incorrectly treated root canals [Bouillaguet S et al., 2018]. The bacterial composition of these types of apical periodontitis differs [Hong BY et al., 2013].

Knowledge of microorganisms of apical periodontitis is necessary, because its treatment is based on the elimination of these bacteria.

Currently, research work is being carried out to study the taxonomic nomenclature of conditionally pathogenic and pathogenic microflora of the human body in various pathologies of the maxillofacial region and its quantitative composition, as well as to evaluate the effectiveness of candidate antimicrobial compounds. The issue of isolation and identification of pure cultures of microorganisms and assessment of the profile of their resistance (sensitivity) to antimicrobial compounds is important [Mussano F et al., 2018].

The purpose of this review article is to assess systematically the available scientific evidence about the microbial biocenosis of apical periodontitis in the root canal system and its resistance to antibiotics [Chow At et al., 2019].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was written in the course of the analysis of Russian and English literature in Google Scholar and PubMed electronic databases [Moher D et al., 2010].

The analysis includes publications that meet the following selection criteria:

Publications dated from 2012 to 2022;

Availability of studies confirming the role of microbiota in the pathogenesis of apical periodontitis;

Figuring the topic of the diversity of microorganisms of apical periodontitis [Murad CF et al., 2014].

The review didn't include publications, the title and abstract of

which did not meet at least one of the presented inclusion criteria.

RESULTS

The publications were selected and included in the analysis in several stages. The first step was to exclude publications dated 2000 and earlier. Subsequently, the title and summary of the publications were analyzed. Further, there was an acquaintance with the content and the review of full-text versions of the selected publications. At each stage, the authors worked independently.

During the data extraction process, the risk of systematic error was evaluated using a two-component Cochrane Collaboration instrument [Higgins J et al., 2008; Higgins J et al., 2011]. According to Higgins et al. systematic error levels were categorized as follows: low risk if all criteria are met; moderate risk if only one criterion is missing; high risk if two or more criteria are missing; unclear risk if there were few details to evaluate the risk.

Totally 55 articles were reviewed, 15 of which were from the PubMed database, 40 were from Google Scholar. After the selection according to the exclusion criteria, the total number of articles was 10. In the selected articles, the relevant data on microorganisms present in primary and secondary apical periodontitis.

DISCUSSION

Apical periodontitis is a chronic inflammatory disorder of periradicular tissues. Microorganisms have a critical role in the etiology and pathogenesis of this disease. Bacterial biocenosis of apical periodontitis in the root canal system is very diverse. One important observation that may be derived from this high richness, is that there are virtually no species unequivocally specific to an infection type. In other words, the same bacteria tend to be detected in both types of apical periodontitis, yet with different relative abundances [Manoil D et al., 2020; Huang Y et al., 2021; Motiwala M et al., 2021].

Knowledge of microbial location and organization within the root canal system is important for understanding the disease process and establishing effective antimicrobial therapeutic strategies [Lee L et al., 2017].



To overcome it is possible, due to the uniting the knowledge and will of all doctors in the world

Primary Apical Periodontitis

Primary apical periodontitis results from the microbial colonization of necrotic pulp tissues.

In some studies, it was shown, that strictly anaerobic bacteria dominate the microbial population of untreated necrotic root canals, with approximately 5-12 genera including *Peptostreptococcus*, *Prevotella*, *Porphyromonas*, *Fusobacterium*, *Eubacterium*, and *Actinomyces* along with facultative anaerobic streptococci. The presence of such pathogens in necrotic root canals was shown to fairly correlate with the observation of apical inflammatory lesions on intraoral radiographs [Sato T et al., 2012; Signoretti FG et al., 2013; Bouillaguet S et al., 2018]. This result is in agreement with another research results, where the representatives of the genera *Lactobacillus*, *Prevotella*, *Fusobacterium*, *Actinomyces*, *Parvimonas*, *Pseudoramibacter*, and *Porphyromonas* are often found in the root canal system with primary apical periodontitis [Ozok A et al., 2012; Siqueira JF Jr et al., 2020; Siqueira JF Jr, Rôças IN, 2021].

Another study shows, that most common bacteria in primary apical periodontitis are *Fusobacterium* (15%), *Pseudoramibacter* (8%), *Novosphingobium* (8%), *Ralstonia* (6%), and *Bacteroides* (5%) [Manoil D et al., 2020].

Most prevalent gram-positive bacterial species detected in primary apical periodontitis were *S. intermedius* (14/31), *E. faecalis* (13/31), *P. acnes* (13/31), and *S. constellatus* (11/31) while the most prevalent gram-negative bacterial species were *P. gingivalis* (14/31), *C. sputigena* (13/31), *P. melaninogenica* (13/31), and *L. buccalis* (12/31) [Machado F et al., 2020].

Secondary Apical Periodontitis

Secondary apical periodontitis results from a persistent infection of incorrectly treated root canals.

Microbial populations in root canal system with secondary apical periodontitis differ from those of untreated roots. Culture-based studies have rarely identified more than 1-3 genera of Gram-positive facultative anaerobes, including *Streptococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Enterococcus*. Some of these bacteria are resistant to the action of conventional antimicrobial agents and survive in root canal system for many years [Bouillaguet S et al., 2018; Gomes BPFA, Herrera DR, 2018; Wang Z et al., 2021].

Most prevalent species found in secondary api-

cal periodontitis were *E. faecalis*, *P. gingivalis*, *F. nucleatum* and *A. actinomycetemcomitans* [Barbosa-Ribeiro M et al., 2020].

Many studies have shown that the species *E. faecalis* is classically the most frequently retrieved bacterium from secondary apical periodontitis associated root canals, with prevalence values reaching up to 90%, but was rarely detected in primary apical periodontitis. Notably, *E. faecalis* is more commonly retrieved from teeth treated in multiple visits or temporarily left open. This may suggest that the species is a secondary opportunistic colonizer not necessarily part of the microbiota present in primary apical periodontitis before the treatment [Manoil D et al., 2020].

Microbiota Changes Over the Past 10 Years

In studies of 2012, the most commonly detected bacteria in root canal system with apical periodontitis were *Prevotella nigrescens*, *Prevotella intermedia*, and *Tannerella forsythia* [Endo M et al., 2012]. And also *Lactobacillus*, *Actinomyces*, *Streptococcus*, *Fusobacterium*, *Porphyromonas*, *Eubacterium*, *Selenomonas* and *Corynebacterium* [Ozok A et al., 2012].

A study conducted in 2014 showed, that the most frequently detected species were Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Fusobacteria and Spirochaetes [Wang J et al., 2014]. This result is an agreement with another research of the same year, in which the most numerous were Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, but Actinobacteria, Fusobacteria, Proteobacteria, Spirochaetes, Tenericutes, and Synergistetes were also present in most of the patients [Vengerfeldt V et al., 2014]. *E. faecalis* was detected in 49% [Stojanović N et al., 2014].

In 2016, the most commonly detected bacteria in apical periodontitis were Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Fusobacteria and Actinobacteria [Siqueira J et al., 2016]. In some studies of 2017 – *Porphyromonas endodontalis*, *Bacteroides*, *Dialister invisus*, *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, *Treponema denticola*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Peptostreptococcus*, *Olsenella uli* and *Veillonella* [Dumani A et al., 2012; Lee L et al., 2017].

Researches of 2019 have shown a predominance of Firmicutes, Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes, followed by Actinobacteria, Fusobacteria, Spirochaetes, Synergistetes, Acidobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Tenericutes, Chloroflexi. Notably, a

very high abundance of Burkholderia was also found, while this was not reported in previous studies [Qian W et al., 2019].

In 2021 still was revealed the dominance of Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria and Corynebacterium matruchotii [Korona-Glowniak I et al., 2021].

Studies conducted in 2022 have also reveal the prevalence of Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and the genera Fusobacterium, Morganella, Porphyromonas, Streptococcus, and Bifidobacterium [Sun X et al., 2022].

Thus, it can be concluded that the bacterial biocenosis of root canal system with apical periodontitis has not undergone major changes over the past 10 years.

Antibiotic Sensitivity

Microorganisms with apical periodontitis are sensitive to various antibiotics. Thus, most of Campylobacter isolates were sensitive to metronidazole, chloramphenicol, and clindamycin. Streptococcus isolates were sensitive to penicillin, clindamycin, linezolid, levofloxacin, and cefepine (cephalosporin fourth generation). Staphylococcus isolates were sensitive to sulfonamide, linezolid, amoxicillin+clavulanate, and cefoxitin (cephalosporin second generation). Most of Pseudomonas and Acinetobacter isolates were sensitive to gentamicin, ceftazidime (cephalosporin third generation), ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin. Most part of Enterobacteriaceae isolates were sensitive to ceftazidime and ciprofloxacin [Lee L et al., 2017].

E. faecalis isolates showed varied degrees of resistance to several antimicrobial agents. Most of E. faecalis isolates were sensitive to high-level genta-

micin (120 µg/mL) and linezolid (30 µg/mL) [Lee LW et al., 2017]. But the most effective antimicrobial agent against E. faecalis is amoxicillin + clavulanate [Barbosa-Ribeiro M et al., 2016].

CONCLUSION

The main role in the etiology and pathogenesis of apical periodontitis belongs to the bacterial biocenosis of the root canal system.

Primary apical periodontitis had higher contents of microbial load compared with secondary apical periodontitis. However, secondary apical periodontitis presented a more diverse microbiota compared with the primary.

The most commonly occurring microorganisms in primary apical periodontitis are Fusobacterium, Porphyromonas, Prevotella, Pseudoramibacter and Peptostreptococcus.

In secondary apical periodontitis, E. faecalis, P. gingivalis, F. nucleatum and A. actinomycetemcomitans are the most common.

Notably, E. faecalis occurs in both types of apical periodontitis, but with secondary it is much more common.

Over the past 10 years, the microbiota of root canal system with apical periodontitis has not changed significantly.

Knowledge of the bacterial biocenosis of root canals in apical periodontitis allows to choose the right tactics of antibacterial therapy. Microorganisms of apical periodontitis are sensitive to various antibiotics. However, most of them are more sensitive to amoxicillin + clavulanate, levofloxacin, clindamycin and linezolid.

REFERENCES

1. Antunes HS, Rôças IN, Alves FR, Siqueira JF Jr (2015). Total and Specific Bacterial Levels in the Apical Root Canal System of Teeth with Post-Treatment Apical Periodontitis. J Endod. 41(7): 1037-1042
2. Arruda MEF, Neves MAS, Diogenes A, Mdala I, Guilherme BPS., et al (2018). Infection Control in Teeth with Apical Periodontitis Using a Triple Antibiotic Solution or Calcium Hydroxide with Chlorhexidine: A Randomized Clinical Trial. J Endod. 44(10): 1474-1479
3. Aw V (2016). Discuss the role of microorganisms in the aetiology and pathogenesis of periapical disease. Aust Endod J. 42(2): 53-59
4. Barbosa-Ribeiro M, Arruda-Vasconcelos R, Louzada LM, Dos Santos DG, Andreote FD, Gomes BPFA (2021). Microbiological analysis of endodontically treated teeth with apical periodontitis before and after endodontic retreatment. Clin Oral Investig. 25(4): 2017-2027
5. Barbosa-Ribeiro M, Arruda-Vasconcelos R,

- Mendes Louzada L, Rodrigues Lima A, Marciano M., et al (2020). Microbiological Investigation in Teeth with Persistent/Secondary Endodontic Infection in Different Stages of Root Canal Retreatment. *Eur Endod J.* 5(3): 219-225
6. Barbosa-Ribeiro M, De-Jesus-Soares A, Zaia AA, Ferraz CC, Almeida JF, Gomes BP (2016). Antimicrobial Susceptibility and Characterization of Virulence Genes of *Enterococcus faecalis* Isolates from Teeth with Failure of the Endodontic Treatment. *J Endod.* 42(7): 1022-1028
 7. Bouillaguet S, Manoel D, Girard M, Louis J, Gaia N, Leo S, Schrenzel J, Lazarevic V (2018). Root Microbiota in Primary and Secondary Apical Periodontitis. *Frontiers in Microbiology.* 9: 2374
 8. Chow AT, Quah SY, Bergenholtz G, Lim KC, Yu VSH, Tan KS (2019). Bacterial species associated with persistent apical periodontitis exert differential effects on osteogenic differentiation. *Int Endod J.* 52(2): 201-210
 9. Dumani A, Yoldas O, Yilmaz S, Koksak F, Kayar B, Akcimen B, Seydaoglu G (2012). Polymerase chain reaction of *enterococcus faecalis* and *candida albicans* in apical periodontitis from Turkish patients. *J Clin Exp Dent.* 4(1): e34-39
 10. Endo MS, Martinho FC, Zaia AA, Ferraz CC, Almeida JF, Gomes BP (2012). Quantification of cultivable bacteria and endotoxin in post-treatment apical periodontitis before and after chemo-mechanical preparation. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 31(10): 2575-2583
 11. Gomes BPFA, Herrera DR (2018). Etiologic role of root canal infection in apical periodontitis and its relationship with clinical symptomatology. *Braz Oral Res.* 32(1): e69
 12. Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, Juni P, Moher D., et al (2011). The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ.* 343
 13. Hong BY, Lee TK, Lim SM, Chang SW, Park J., et al (2013). Microbial analysis in primary and persistent endodontic infections by using pyrosequencing. *J Endod.* 39(9): 1136-1140
 14. Huang Y, Zhou P, Liu S, Duan W, Zhang Q, Lu Y, Wei X (2021). Metabolome and microbiome of chronic periapical periodontitis in permanent anterior teeth: a pilot study. *BMC Oral Health.* 21(1): 599
 15. Korona-Glowniak I, Piatek D, Fornal E, Lukowiak A, Gerasymchuk Y., et al (2021). Patterns of Oral Microbiota in Patients with Apical Periodontitis. *J Clin Med.* 10(12): 2707
 16. Lee LW, Lee YL, Hsiao SH, Lin HP (2017). Bacteria in the apical root canals of teeth with apical periodontitis. *J Formos Med Assoc.* 116(6): 448-456
 17. Machado FP, Khoury RD, Toia CC, Flores Orozco EI, de Oliveira FE., et al (2020). Primary versus post-treatment apical periodontitis: microbial composition, lipopolysaccharides and lipoteichoic acid levels, signs and symptoms. *Clin Oral Invest.* 24(9): 3169-3179
 18. Manoel D, Al-Manei K, Belibasakis GN (2020). A Systematic Review of the Root Canal Microbiota Associated with Apical Periodontitis: Lessons from Next-Generation Sequencing. *Proteomics Clin Appl.* 14(3): e1900060
 19. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group (2010). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Int J Surg.* 5: 336-341
 20. Motiwala MA, Habib S, Ghaffoor R, Irfan S (2021). Comparison of antimicrobial efficacy of Calcipex and Metapex in endodontic treatment of chronic apical periodontitis: a randomised controlled trial study protocol. *BMJ Open.* 11(7): e048947
 21. Murad CF, Sassone LM, Faveri M, Hirata R Jr, Figueiredo L, Feres M (2014). Microbial diversity in persistent root canal infections investigated by checkerboard DNA-DNA hybridization. *J Endod.* 40(7): 899-906
 22. Mussano F, Ferrocino I, Gavrilova N, Genova T, Dell'Acqua A., et al (2018). Apical periodontitis: preliminary assessment of microbiota by 16S rRNA high throughput amplicon target sequencing. *BMC Oral Health.* 18(1): 55
 23. Nóbrega LM, Montagner F, Ribeiro AC, Mayer MA, Gomes BP (2016). Bacterial diversity of symptomatic primary endodontic infection by clonal analysis. *Braz Oral Res.* 30(1): e103
 24. Ozok AR, Persoon IF, Huse SM, Keijser BJ, Wesselink PR., et al (2012). Ecology of the

- microbiome of the infected root canal system: a comparison between apical and coronal root segments. *Int Endod J.* 45(6): 530-541
25. Qian W, Ma T, Ye M, Li Z, Liu Y, Hao P (2019). Microbiota in the apical root canal system of tooth with apical periodontitis. *BMC Genomics.* 20(2): 189
 26. Sato T, Yamaki K, Ishida N, Hashimoto K, Takeuchi Y, et al (2012). Cultivable anaerobic microbiota of infected root canals. *Int J Dent.* 2012: 609689
 27. Signoretti FG, Gomes BP, Montagner F, Jacinto RC (2013). Investigation of cultivable bacteria isolated from longstanding retreatment-resistant lesions of teeth with apical periodontitis. *J Endod.* 39(10): 1240-1244
 28. Siqueira JF Jr, Antunes HS, Pérez AR, Alves FRF, Mdala I., et al (2020). The Apical Root Canal System of Teeth with Posttreatment Apical Periodontitis: Correlating Microbiologic, Tomographic, and Histopathologic Findings. *J Endod.* 46(9): 1195-1203
 29. Siqueira JF Jr, Antunes HS, Rôças IN, Rachid CT, Alves FR (2016). Microbiome in the Apical Root Canal System of Teeth with Post-Treatment Apical Periodontitis. *PLoS One.* 11(9): e0162887
 30. Siqueira JF Jr, Rôças IN (2021). Present status and future directions: Microbiology of endodontic infections. *Int Endod J.* doi: 10.1111/iej.13677
 31. Stojanović N, Krunić J, Popović B, Stojičić S, Zivković S (2014). Prevalence of *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in infected root canals and their susceptibility to endodontic treatment procedures: a molecular study. *Srp Arh Celok Lek.* 142(9-10): 535-541
 32. Sun X, Yang Z, Nie Y, Hou B (2022). Microbial Communities in the Extraradicular and Intraradicular Infections Associated with Persistent Apical Periodontitis. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* 11: 798367
 33. Tatikonda A, Sudheep N, Biswas KP, Gowtham K, Pujari S, Singh P (2017). Evaluation of Bacteriological Profile in the Apical Root Segment of the Patients with Primary Apical Periodontitis. *J Contemp Dent Pract.* 18(1): 44-48
 34. Vengerfeldt V, Špilka K, Saag M, Preem JK, Oopkaup K., et al (2014). Highly diverse microbiota in dental root canals in cases of apical periodontitis (data of illumina sequencing). *J Endod.* 40(11): 1778-1783
 35. Wang J, Tang Z, Li J, Zhang G (2014). Comparison of the intraradicular bacterial community structures of teeth with or without post-treatment periapical periodontitis. *Zhonghua Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi.* 49(10): 607-613
 36. Wang Z, Yang G, Ren B, Gao Y, Peng X., et al (2021). Effect of Antibacterial Root Canal Sealer on Persistent Apical Periodontitis. *Antibiotics (Basel).* 10(6): 741



CONTENTS

4. **ZILFYAN A.V., AVAGYAN S.A., MURADYAN A.A., BARSEGHYAN E.S.**
RECOMMENDED TACTICS FOR MASS VACCINATION OF HEALTHY INDIVIDUALS AND COVID-19 CONVALESCENTS
13. **MAGHAKYAN S.A., AGHAJANOVA E.M., HOVHANNISYAN A.H., ASOYAN V.A., BARSEGHYAN E.S.**
MYXEDEMA COMA ASSOCIATED WITH COVID-19 INFECTION: CASE REPORT
17. **HAKOBYAN H.H.**
ANXIETY AND CHRONIC PAIN IN CAREGIVERS OF CHILDREN WITH CEREBRAL PALSY IN ARMENIA: DESCRIPTIVE STUDY
23. **ISSAMATOV B.K., ZHOLDYBAY ZH.ZH., TAJIBAEV T.K., SERIKULY E.S., BAIMAKHANOV B.B., MEDEUBEKOV U.SH., SAGATOV I.Y.**
FEATURE ANALYSIS OF COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHIC SIGNS OF HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA IN MULTIPHASE STUDIES
29. **TAJIBAYEV T.K., CHORMANOV A.T., MATKERIMOV A.ZH., TERGEUSSIZOV A.S., BAUBEKOV A.A., ZHAKUBAYEV M.A., SAGATOV I.Y., KANCHI M.**
CAROTID BODY TUMORS: CASE SERIES OF EXTREMELY RARE HEAD AND NECK PARAGANGLIOMAS.
35. **KHANCHI MEAD, MATKERIMOV A.ZH., TERGEUSSIZOV A.S., DEMEUOV T.N., ZHAKUBAYEV M.A., KHANCHI M.M., SHAMSHIEV A.S., SAGATOV I.Y.**
SURGICAL TREATMENT OF ANEURYSMS OF AORTIC ARCH BRANCHES AND VESSELS OF THE UPPER EXTREMITIES
43. **SARKISYAN N.G., KATAEVA N.N., AKHMETOVA A.I., KUKHAREVA A.R., CHUMAKOV N.S., KHLYSTOVA K.A., MELIKYAN S.G.**
PHYSICOCHEMICAL INDICATORS OF DENTAL PATIENT SALIVA WHO HAVE UNDERGONE AN UNCOMPLICATED CORONAVIRUS INFECTION
49. **KHABADZE Z.S., NEGORELOVA YA.A., GEVORKYAN A.A., NAZAROVA D.A., SHILYAEVA E.S., KOTELNIKOVA A.P., MORDANOV O.S.**
COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SMEAR LAYER REMOVAL TECHNIQUES IN THE TREATMENT OF DENTAL CARIES
58. **KULIKOVA A.A., KHABADZE Z.S., GENERALOVA YU.A., MOKHAMED EL-KHALAF R., NAZAROVA D.A., YOLLYBAYEV YA.A.**
APPLICATION OF POLYHEXANIDE AS A NEW HIGHLY EFFECTIVE ANTISEPTIC COMPOSITION.
64. **MORDANOV O.S., KHABADZE Z.S., NAZAROVA D.A., SHILYAEVA E.S., KOTELNIKOVA A.P., MORDANOVA A.V.**
TEMPERATURE EFFECT ON THERMAL CHANGES AND PHASE ANALYSIS OF 3Y-TZP ZIRCONIA RESTORATIONS
70. **BASSEL J.A., EYAD M.S.**
EVALUATION OF MARGINAL ADAPTATION OF (CAD/CAM) LAVA PLUS HIGH TRANSLUCENT ZIRCONIA AND (CAD/CAM) IPS-EMAX FULL CROWNS
76. **KHABADZE Z.S., NAZAROVA D.A., SULEIMANOVA Z.M., GENERALOVA YU.A., KOTELNIKOVA A.P.**
MICROBIAL BIOGENOSIS OF APICAL PERIODONTITIS IN THE ROOT CANAL SYSTEM (PART 1)
81. **KHABADZE Z.S., NAZAROVA D.A., SULEIMANOVA Z.M., GENERALOVA YU.A., KOTELNIKOVA A.P.**
MICROBIAL BIOGENOSIS OF APICAL PERIODONTITIS IN THE ROOT CANAL SYSTEM. (PART 2)
87. **TIUNOVA N.V., NABEREZHNOVA S.S., SAPERKIN N.V., VDovina L.V., DAUROVA F.JU., TOMAEVA D.I., CHUVARKOVA I. M.**
RATIONALE BEHIND A MINIMALLY INVASIVE APPROACH IN THE TREATMENT OF DENTAL FLUOROSIS
94. **TSVETKOVA M.A., SOHOV S.T.**
ORTHODONTIC TREATMENT ALGORITHM FOR PATIENTS WITH POSITIVE DRUG ANAMNESIS. GLUCOCORTICOID.
101. **PANAHI S.R., SABZ G., JOKARTANGKARAMI A., AFROUGHI S., KARIMPOUR F.**
ANATOMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF NASOPALATINE CANAL USING CONE BEAM COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY IMAGES
109. **PURWANTI T., ERFAN KUSUMA M.S., YUDIANTO A.**
HEAD INJURY CASE WITH BLUNT FORCE TRAUMATIC: CASE REPORT AT BHAYANGKARA HOSPITAL KEDRII INDONESIA



The Journal is founded by
Yerevan State Medical
University after M. Heratsi.



Rector of YSMU

Armen A. Muradyan

Address for correspondence:

Yerevan State Medical University
2 Koryun Street, Yerevan 0025,
Republic of Armenia

Phones:

(+37410) 582532 YSMU

(+37410) 580840 Editor-in-Chief

Fax: (+37410) 582532

E-mail: namj.ysmu@gmail.com, ysmiu@mail.ru

URL: <http://www.ysmu.am>

Our journal is registered in the databases of Scopus,
EBSCO and Thomson Reuters (in the registration process)



SCOPUS



EBSCO



THOMSON
REUTERS

Copy editor: Tatevik R. Movsisyan

Printed in "VARM" LLC
Director: Ruzanna Arakelyan
Armenia, 0018, Yerevan,
Tigran Mec 48, 43
Phone: (+374 91) 19 29 00,
E-mail: armana6@mail.ru

Editor-in-Chief

Arto V. Zilfyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Deputy Editors

Hovhannes M. Manvelyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Hamayak S. Sisakyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Executive Secretary

Stepan A. Avagyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Editorial Board

Armen A. Muradyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Drastamat N. Khudaverdyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Levon M. Mkrtchyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Foregin Members of the Editorial Board

Carsten N. GUTT (Memmingen, Germany)

Muhammad MIFTAHUSSURUR (Indonesia)

Alexander WOODMAN (Dharhan, Saudi Arabia)

Hesam Adin Atashi (Tehran, Iran)

Coordinating Editor (for this number)

Inkar Sagatov (Almaty, Kazakhstan)

Editorial Advisory Council

Ara S. Babloyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Aram Chobanian (Boston, USA)

Luciana Dini (Lecce, Italy)

Azat A. Engibaryan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Ruben V. Fanarjyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Gerasimos Filippatos (Athens, Greece)

Gabriele Fragasso (Milan, Italy)

Samvel G. Galstyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Arthur A. Grigorian (Macon, Georgia, USA)

Armen Dz. Hambardzumyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Seyran P. Kocharyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Aleksandr S. Malayan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Mikhail Z. Narimanyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Levon N. Nazarian (Philadelphia, USA)

Yumei Niu (Harbin, China)

Linda F. Noble-Haeusslein (San Francisco, USA)

Eduard S. Sekoyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Arthur K. Shukuryan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Suren A. Stepanyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Gevorg N. Tamamyanyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Hakob V. Topchyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Alexander Tsiskaridze (Tbilisi, Georgia)

Konstantin B. Yenkovyan (Yerevan, Armenia)

Peijun Wang (Harbin, China)